G. R. S. 58
Previous references.

1908.

(Local B)

File No. 274—07

Serial Nos. 1—16

Later references.
L Rs 287 ~~07~~

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 & 16

Part B. for September 1908

SUBJECT.

Petition of C Ramachandra Rao Cash & Treasury clerk praying for early disbursement of arrears of pay due to him.

From the Petr. Petn of . Represents that the Dy Commr. of who was requested to order the disbursement of the arrears of pay due to him told him that he had been dismissed the Service & prays for early order regarding his pay, &c.

To the Presdt, Dt. Bl, Bangalore. 2015 & 25.4.08 Forwarded the above for early disposal & return to the Petr. No. 10816 of 25.4.08. Informing of the above.

From the Petr. Petn of 7.5.08. Requests that the evidence of the witnesses not already examined may be secured & that subsistence allowance be paid to the petitioner, &c. in pending proceedings.

From the Presdt. No. 233 of 8.5.08. Submits a detailed report together with the above records connected with the Case

To do. No. 1202 of 15.5.08. Calls for the Service Register of the Petr.

From do. No. 1303 of 15.6.08. Forwards the Service Register.

To the Presidt. no 13654 of 30.6.08. Calls for Complete records of the Case.

From the Petr. Petn of 14.7.08. Represents that he is without being for the last one year & a half & Praying for orders in the Case.

From the Presidt no 07-10 of 20.7.08. Asks for the return of the Service Records of the Petr

To DO no 1524 of 27.7.08. Requests early Submn of the record already Called for.

From DO no 1025 of 28.7.08. Returns the Service Reports

To DO no 1584 of 12.8.08. Requests early Submn of the record & the records Called for

From DO 31 of 7.9.08. Sends the entire records the Case

To DO Comptr no 3166-7 of 25.9.08. Directs that the order of dismissal passed against Ramakrishnan Nair ~~the petr~~ be Cancelled.

From the Petr no 8448 of 30.9.08. Intimates the above

7650/

G.R.S. 11] 1907

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(2B)

NOTES.

File. No. 374/07

FILE HEADING.

Petition of C Ramachandra Rao late L & clerk Mugady Taluk praying for early disbursement of arrears of pay due to him

From C Ramachandra Rao

No. — Dated — Received 15.4.07

Diary No. 4650	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Represents that the Deputy Comr who was requested to order disbursement of arrear of pay due to the petitioner told him that he has been dismissed the service and prays for early orders regarding his pay &c.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

2 Submitted for orders with two drafts 3 Done

RSong 20/4/07 WB 20/4/07

2 4 To President Dt Board Bangalore

Notes—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

Serial No.	
	No. 10815 dt 25.4.08 forwards the above finance report
3	5 Do to Do No. 10816 dt 25.4.08 Forwards him dt the above
4	6 From the petitioner Ramachandra Rao — Petn dt 7.5.08 (D 8336) Requests that the evidence of the witnesses not already examined may be served, & that sufficient importance should be given to the manager &c in passing final orders.
5	7 From the President of the Board of Bangalore No. 288 dt 7 May 1908 (D 8415). Submits a detailed report and the entire records of the case.
	8 All the witnesses cited by the petitioner have been examined excepting the Contractors whom he has not named & so there appears to be no necessity for the further enquiry solicited. The records do not contain the service register of the individual

of the Case
[illegible]
Note.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. Fo. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.	
	9. Submitted for orders with draft Tlsong 13/6/08
	10 Issued HH/S
	11 To President- Bd Board Bangalore No. 12029 d 18.5.08. Requests him to send the service register for the period.
	12. As it is not yet received draft requesting early submission is submitted for approval. Tlsd 6/6/08.
	13 Done BB 9/6/08.
	14 To President- No 13023 d 15.6.08 Requests early submission of the Register.
7	15 From the President of the Bd Board of Bangalore - No. 261 d 20.6.08 (D 9723). Forwards the service register of C Ramachendra Rao, as called for.
	16 The Deputy Comrs letter to the Revenue Board forwarding the Register of the Clerk. (7)
	17 C. Ramachendra Rao Local Fund Clerk, doing the duties of the Work Inspector joined the Magady Taluk on

NOTE—Contu sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial No.

on transfer on the 31st October 1906 and the horse allowance claimed by him for October and November 1906 was passed. In his report submitting the bill for December 1906, the Auditor observed that the Work Inspector did not appear to have maintained a pony and that he had not been ill, whereupon he was asked to state whether the Work Inspector kept a horse during the previous months for which the claim was allowed. As the Auditor reported that though the Work Inspector urges in his explanation that he maintained a horse during the months yet he could not definitely say whether he kept a horse, during December 1906, the claim was disallowed in June 07.

18 Subsequent to the passing

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

of the bill for December 1906, the Work Inspector preferred his claim to his pay & allowance from January to May 1907 on the 29th June 1907, the Amildar certified to the claim and the bills were passed

In the meanwhile the Work Inspector who was directed to hand over charge and proceed to Kankauli to which place he was transferred submitted 2 petitions 1 to the Sub-Division officer direct & another to the Amildar with a request that it may be forwarded to the assistant Commissioner for orders in which he represented his inconvenience to go to Kankauli & prayed to be kept at Malvan alone for 3 months longer or given furlough for 6 months. The Amildar on his own responsibility & according to orders received from the Divisional officer directed that the Work

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-8-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

Inspector should go ~~away~~ at once to recommend.

2. The Work Inspector referred in his petition above to the fact of the Amildar having only objected to his claim for horse allowance for December 1906, while he allowed the claim for the previous & subsequent months. The Amildar submitted the passed pay bills from January to May 07 for orders, representing that though the Work Inspector did not keep a horse for a portion of the period he allowed the claim to horse allowance as a sort of concession of ~~the~~ which asked as to what he meant by concession, explained that he saw the official with a horse about the middle of April, that he thought he could use his discretion in recommending for horse allowance for the previous months & what prompted him most to do so

as he was engaged on January he could not verify the fact before signing the bills for October & November 1906, that.

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

was that he should not be regarded as being revengeful to the official. The Work Inspector, who was asked to state how he preferred false claim to horse allowance explained that the Amildar having signed his bills was sufficient proof of his having kept a horse and cited a few witnesses to depose to the fact. The Asst Comm^r who examined these witnesses reported that from the statements of witnesses examined it cannot be inferred that his official maintained a horse. On 3rd January 1908 the Deputy Comm^r directed the dismissal of this official, being of opinion that it was evident from the explanation of the Amildar & the evidence of the witnesses that the Work Inspector maintained no horse & that his explanation savoured of impertinence.

21. The Amildar's conduct was also brought to the

NOTE- Contn sheet.

GPB - 50,00017--3-07

Serial No.

notice of the Revenue Commr who ordered that the Amildar should be severely censured for his improper action and that he should be informed that a more serious punishment was not considered necessary as the Amildar evidently erred from a mistaken (if culpable) notion of his duty.

22 The dismissed Official has now petitioned Government to consider his case and pass suitable orders.

23 In his report dated 11th May 1907 the Amildar stated that the Work Inspector maintained a horse for some months past but in his explanation he has stated that he saw the Inspector with a horse only in the middle of April (ie) about 25 days previous.

24 The Amildar stated that when asked to produce his horse before ~~he signed~~ the bill for Deeros was signed, the Work Inspector

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

X 1

stated that it was in a village & that he did not produce it before him as required. The Work Inspector has stated that the Amildar, before he produced the horse, recommended that the allowance should be disallowed & that as since ordered the keeps the horse at home about.

1907 IV 1

25th Some of the witnesses cited by the petitioner and examined by Mr. Devaraj has asst Comr have stated that they used to see the Work Inspector occasionally with a horse & when further asked, stated that they can not say whether he maintained a horse permanently. Sheikdar Krishnappa & Madaval Hobli has stated that as his horse was sick he used the Work Inspectors animal. Constable Narayan Rao who seems to have been sent only after repeated requests has deposed that he does not know whether the Work Inspector maintained a horse

U 1

7/10/07
V 1

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial No.

a horse or not & why he also Walls Inspector cited him as a witness.

26 This Official seems to have gone to Kanthanhally as originally ordered & that he was retransferred to Mysore ~~so that he should~~ in reply to this remaining with the Amildar when the Deputy Commr would make an enquiry into the matter. The papers relating to this, the Walls Inspectors application for transfer referred to by the Amildar, the papers relating to the original order of transfer are not in the records. The petitioners report to the Sub Dr officer that the Amildar signed his bills for September & October 07 but did not submit them though the same finds an entry in the Tr Regr is put up but not the original papers. ~~But~~ The records received ~~after~~ to sufficiently explain the case.

27 The Amildar has stated that he signed the bills as a concession so that he may be regarded as having not

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S., 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

revenged by the Work Inspector

28 The witnesses have spoken to the Work Inspector's having had a horse occasionally.

29 The petitioner has produced a receipt dated 15th November 1906 to shew that he purchased a horse for 18 Rs from one Rangaswamayya and a mahazar signed by 5 persons to shew that he maintained a horse all along.

S1

91 The original is with the record of the Court.

30 The Official is of 20 years standing & is spoken of well by the officers under whom he served as the entries in the service register shew.

N1

31 Submitted for orders

DV Song 32 Please the above facts & proceedings shew that the accused wished to do harm to the Work Inspector.

33 Please call for all the records relating to his transfer &c.

JB
27/6/08.

34 Draft submitted

DV 27/6/08

35 Done JB 29/6/08

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-5-07

472

G. R. S., 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

L63 File No. 374 of 190

Serial No.	
36	To President of Board Bangalore No- 13857 dt 30.6.08. Requests him to submit the complete records of the case-
9 37	From C. Ramachendra Rao Papr d 4/7/08 (D 472) Prays for early orders, representing that he is without pay for the past year and a half.
	The President may be requested to expedite the submission of the records of the case as already called for
	39 Draft submitted
	Disng 23/7/08 40 Issue 24.7.08

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-8-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

L B File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.	
10	41) From the President of the Distt Board, Bangalore Distt No D-710 & 20.7.08 Asks for the return of the Service Registers of the late Local Fund Clerk, Magady Taluk, sent with his No 2610 & 20.6.08
	42) Subd with draft returning. The Connected papers were subd to [redacted] Asst Secy on 23.7.08 who [illegible] passed is under 24.7.08 issue.
	43 Issue 27.7.08 done

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.	
44	To President D Board Bangalore No 957 d 29/7/08. Requests early submission of the report already called for.
45 12	To do — No 1025 d 24/7/08. Sends the service register called for. wanted by the President.
46	The records have not yet been received. Draft of a reminder is submitted for approval Typ 25/8/08
47	Issue 26.8.08.
48 13	To do — No 1984 d 28.8.08. Requests early submission of the report & the records —
49 14	From do — No 30 d 2.9.08 (D 1649). Submits the entire records of the case. C. Rama Chendra Rao late work Inspector was transferred to the Magady Taluk in October 1906. He was in receipt of a pay of Rs 15 + 10 Rs horse allowance a month. He is said to have made false statements in his salary

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-8-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

57 The records shew that the Work Inspector was paid his salary for June July & August 1907 at Kankanhally where he went on transfer. After his retransfer to Mugaly he submitted his bills for September & October 1907. The Amildar after seeing the annual maintained by the Work Inspector passed them but subsequently cancelled them having learnt that the annual he sent was not the Work Inspector's and made a report of it to the Deputy. These papers with the bills seem to have after some correspondence been filed in the Sub Dy office, as the official had been dismissed the service.

61 The Amildar having refused to pay his bill for November 1907 & directed that it may be submitted direct, the Work Inspector submitted

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

it to the Sub Dn Officer who recommended to the Depy Comr payment of the amount and stated that he has learnt from enquiries made that it is true that the Work Inspector maintained a horse but that he would submit a further report of the date from which he maintained one, while in his ×report on the case submitted he has stated that it can not be inferred from the statements of witnesses cited that the Work Inspector maintained a horse from Jany to April 07.

5-2 The President has now stated that arrangements have been made for the disbursement of arrears of pay due to the Work Inspector.

5-3 ~~Forwarded for orders.~~

DJ 8/9/08 The Amildar of Kankanhally who was asked to state whether the Work Inspector

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

Ramachendra Rao maintained a horse during the period he was at Kankendelly has replied that the acquittance roll shews that he drew horse allowance for July 07 & that it follows that he maintained a horse during the period.

TLS 5/7. Submitted for orders

8/9/08 9/9.

53) The following is a statement of the facts of the case.

1. Presidents memo - dated 6th July 06 - Ramachendra Rao having been reported upon unfavourably by Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar was transferred from Nelamangala to Magadi. He reported himself at Magadi and took charge of his appointment on the 31st Oct 06.

2. Bills for Oct and Nov 1906. The pay of the Work Inspector and his horse allowance for these two months were duly passed and paid to him.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LS File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

3. Bill for Dec: 1906. The bill for this month was prepared as usual and the Amildar signed the certificate thereon that the Work Inspector maintained a horse during the month. In his report to the President forwarding the same, dated 14th Jan: 1907, the Amildar stated however that he was given to understand that the Work Inspector did not maintain a pony and that he had not seen it.

22.1.07. The bill was returned by the President to the Amildar directing him to satisfy himself as to whether the Work Inspector maintained a pony and resubmit the same after necessary changes.

28.1.07. The Amildar reported in reply that the Work Inspector stated that he had left the pony in a village and did not produce the same for inspection, and that he was very disobedient and it was not possible to take any

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

work from him and requested the President to transfer him to some other Zalik.

X 1 5.2.07. The President again directed the Amildar to definitely ascertain and ~~state whether~~ certify whether the Work Inspector did or did not maintain a pony and stated as regards the proposed transfer, that he might be given an opportunity and sufficient instructions for carrying out his work and that if he should prove incompetent, the record with a statement of facts might be submitted thro' the Agt Comr for necessary orders.

X 1 15.2.07 The Amildar reported that the Work Inspector ~~had~~ did not maintain a pony.

X 1 23.3.07 The President enquired thereupon how the horse allowance for the previous months had been passed and why the Work Inspector ~~did~~ failed to maintain a pony in December and directed that his ~~explanation~~ might be taken and forwarded.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

11.5.07. The Work Inspector asserted that he did maintain a pony during December and subsequently. In forwarding his explanation dated 10th May 1907, the Amildar reported that he could not definitely state whether the Work Inspector did maintain a pony in December but that latterly for some months past he ~~[illegible]~~ was maintaining one and that this was being certified by the Amildar in the Work Inspector's bills -

5.6.07. The President disallowing the horse-allowance claimed for December 1906 and issued a cheque for Rs 15 only, the pay of the Work Inspector - Thus ends the first chapter of this case -

4. Bills for the months from Jan: to May 1907. These were forwarded by the Amildar with certificates for having maintained a pony, on 27.6.07. They were passed by the President on the 29th idem and a cheque issued for Rs 125.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 374 of 1907

Serial No.

5. On 5.7.07, the Divl. Apt Comr. ordered the transfer of the W.I. from Nagadi to Kankabally when this order was communicated to the Work Inspector on 8.7.07, he addressed two petitions to the Apt Comr, one on the 9th W and the other on the 10th idem requesting that on account of certain personal inconveniences, the order of transfer might be held in abeyance In those petitions, he accused the Amildar of having made false complaints and reports against him regarding his horse allowance and other matters and of being bent upon causing harm to him - In submitting these petitions to the Apt Comr the Amildar stated that the Work Inspector did not really maintain any pony till ~~Apl~~ the middle of April 1907, that by way of concession, ~~he~~ he certified in ~~those~~ the bills for those months that a pony was maintained that the pay and allowances for

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18.5.06

Serial No.

the months, Jan : to May 1907, though passed by the President had not been paid to the Work Inspector, and that as the question whether a pony was maintained or not was raised by the Work Inspector himself, his allowance for the period from Jan to the middle of April might be disallowed. On 12.7.07, the Apt Comr ordered that the Work Inspector was wrong in having addressed his petitions direct to him and might be warned. and that he should proceed at once to the place of transfer and then report his inconveniences from there. The Work Inspector accordingly handed over charge and proceeded to Kankanhally - The order of transfer was however subsequently cancelled by the President in his order dated 13th August 1907 and the Work Inspector was retransferred to Nagadi ~~and his pay was restored~~ The Work Inspector returned to Nagadi and reported himself

Y 3

Y 2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

for duty on 28.9.07.

6. Though the Work Inspector left his Taluk in accordance with the Apt Comrs order, the Amildar did not keep quiet but pressed the question of his allowance from Jan to the middle of April in his report to the Apt Comr dated 23.7.07. It was forwarded to the President who on 16.9.07 directed the Apt Comr to report whether as a matter of fact, the Work Inspector did or did not maintain a pony and also to obtain the Amildar's explanation as to what he meant by stating that he had signed the certificates by way of concession The explanation of the Amildar dated 13.10.07 speaks for itself The Apt Comr held some enquiry in Sep, and Oct 1907 and at last reported on the 20th Dec 1907 that it could not be definitely stated whether the Work Inspector did maintain a pony between Jan and April 1907.

7. In the meantime

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

however, the pay bills of the Work Inspector for September and October 1907 were presented to the Amildar on the 20th Nov: 1907, the Amildar signed them and certified that the Work Inspector ~~had~~ did maintain a pony and signed also an Idula to the President forwarding the same - But these were not despatched on the ground that the Work Inspector ~~had~~ ~~received~~ ~~him~~ had shewn him some pony on the ~~same~~ day he signed them but on subsequent enquiry he had learnt that it belonged to one Rangaswamayya and that ~~he~~ the W. I had not maintained it - The bills were for the above reason cancelled on the 7th Dec 1907. On 25.11.07, the Work Inspector addressed a petition to the ~~Deputy~~ President ~~Comm~~ complaining against the Amildar in regard to those two bills and requested ~~him~~ the Amildar to submit the same to the President - On 6.12.07, the Amildar submitted the same and reported that the officials was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

highly disobedient and it was not possible to take any work from him and further requested that he might be transferred to some other Taluk - This report was returned by the President on 16.12.07 with a direction that it might be ~~for~~ submitted through the Agt. Comr. - The Amildar accordingly did so on 21.12.07 and after some correspondence between ~~the~~ him and the Agt Comr, the latter ordered on the 28th May 1908 that the papers be filed as the Work Inspector had been dismissed. The President however has since pointed out to the Agt Comr that he was wrong in doing so and that some definite orders should be passed ~~herewith~~ as regards the pay and the allowance claimed -

8. The pay bill of the Work Inspector for Nov 1907 was submitted by him to the Amildar on 6.12.07 but the latter returned it to him with an endorsement ~~that~~ dated 7.12.07 that he might submit

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5 08

Serial No.

the same direct to the President The Work Inspector thereupon submitted the same to the Asst. Comr with his petition dated 25.12.07. The latter submitted it to the President stating that the Work Inspector was maintaining a pony though it could not be stated from what date he was doing so and that a report would be made as to the date from which the horse-allowance might be sanctioned and recommending that in the meantime, his pay might be sanctioned

9. On 29.11.07, the Work Inspector applied for a months leave and again on 25.12.07 for an extension of the same and subsequently for furlough for six months. So from about the end of November 1907, he is not on duty.

10. To complete the history of the pay-bills for the period from October 1906 to Nov 1907, it only remains to say that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

Serial No.

pay and allowance of the Work Inspector for June 1907 were paid to him in the Nagapr Taluk, those for July 1907 in the Nannilly Taluk and those for August 1907 were drawn in that Taluk and were paid to him in Nagapr

11. On the report of the Apl Comr dated 10.12.07 referred to in para 6 above, and the President ordered on 31.1.08 that the Work Inspector be dismissed as he had given false statements and his explanation savoured of impertinence and that a report be made to the Revr Comr as regards the unsatisfactory conduct of the Amildar.

12. The Amildar having been reported by the President to the Revr Comr in letter, dated 22nd/31st (?) Feb 1907 the latter in his order dated 7/16.6.0 censured the Amildar for his improper action and directed that he should be informed that a more serious punishment was not considered necessary as he evidently

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18.5.08

erred from a mistaken (if culpable) notion of his duty.

13. In his letter to Govt dated 2.9.08, the President explains in detail what action has been taken by him as regards ~~the~~ the pay and allowances of the Work Inspector from Jan 1907 to the 6th April 1908 when the order of dismissal was communicated to the Work Inspector. It is unsatisfactory to note that the question of the Work Inspector's pay and allowances for Sept 1907 and ~~some~~ subsequent months ~~have~~ has not yet reached the stage of final disposal.

14. The points for determination are :—

(i) whether the Work Inspector falsely stated that he maintained a pony and made a false claim for horse-allowance during the months from Dec 1906 to the middle of April 1907?

(ii) whether the Work Inspector was disobedient and impertinent?

(iii) whether for what he was

Serial No.

guilty of, the work Inspector deserved to be dismissed?

15- 1st issue:- It cannot be difficult for an Amildar who must, in the course of his duties, come in frequent contact with his work Inspector, to ascertain whether the latter maintains a pony and is entitled to claim horse-allowance, and it is simply surprising how in the present case, the Amildar has wavered and contradicted himself by first certifying in the bills that a pony was maintained and subsequently reporting that he was not sure if it was maintained or that it was not maintained or cancelling the certificates signed. On the other hand, a man who has maintained a pony can easily prove that he has maintained it and from the fact that the work Inspector failed to do so, it has to be presumed that he did not maintain it. Evidently according

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB-50,00017—3·07

Serial No.

to the Work Inspectors own admission, he had left the pony in some village and could not produce it when required to do so by the Amildar in Jan: 1907. If he was really using the animal there should have been no difficulty at all to prove that he was using it. The Amildar states in his report dated 10th July 07 that the animal was purchased by the Work Inspector subsequent to the 15th April 1907, vide his petition to Govt dated April 1908, the Work Inspector has produced a copy of a receipt shewing that he purchased a pony from Rangaswamayya for Rs 18 and paid the price in full on 15.11.06. It is significant that this was not produced before the Apl Comr during his enquiry and nothing was that Rangaswamayya was not cited as a witness before him (vide W. I's statement dated 27.9.07). The Malagar produced by the W.I. is signed by one

GPB-50,00017—3-07

Serial No.

Rangaswamayya but this man has not been examined ~~at all~~ by the Apt Comr. Though the allowance claimed for the period from Jan to the middle of April may be disallowed on the ground that it is not satisfactorily proved that the Work Inspector did maintain a pony during that periods, there is absolutely no evidence to prove that a false statement was made by the Work Inspector or a false claim preferred.

16. Second issues:- The Work Inspector's Service Register contains a testimonial dated 9.12.97 to the effect "ಅಯ್ಯಾ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ನಾನು ಬಹಳ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ" thereby indicating that his conduct some time previously was unsatisfactory - He was transferred to Magadi in 1907 because Mr. K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar reported unfavourably against him - In Magadi however, he found himself under a weak Amildar who did not know

GPB-50,00017—3-07

Serial No.

how to keep him under control and take work from him. Soon they fell out with another and the Amildar moved to get rid of him. The statements made by the work Inspector against the Amildar in his petitions dated 9th & 10th July 1907 and the manner in which they are made, go to shew that he must really have been disobedient to the Amildar and his conduct far from satisfactory. The transfer to Nankanhally would have had some good effect on him but unfortunately this was cancelled by the President and he was sent back to work under the same officer with whom he had not pulled on well and whom he considered bent on ruining him. The previous misunderstanding continued and they seem to have begun to fight again. He again charged the Amildar with improper motives against him

U V

That the Amildar may have been prejudiced against him & that his attitude towards him was harsh & unjust

Note—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

in his explanation dated 27th Sep 1907. His petitions dated 25th Nov: 1907 addressed to the President and the Apt Commr regarding the bills for the months of September, October and Nov: 1907 contains sentiments and expressions quite unbecoming a subordinate - ~~[illegible]~~ If the allowance to which he was entitled was wrongly disallowed by the Amildar, he could have claimed the same by a proper represe - sentation; but the several petitions referred to above go to shew that his behaviour towards his immediate superior was most unsatisfactory and his conduct opposed to all rules of discipline.

17. Third issue:- The past history of the Work Inspector which has been already referred to above shews that he does not deserve any sympathy whatever however much the Amildar

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB-50,00017—3-07

Serial No.

may be open to blame for his prevarication and doubtful conduct ~~and~~ the order of dismissal passed by the President ~~xxxxx~~ does not in the circumstances of the case seem to be unduly severe -

820/15-9-08

(P Cr) 15.9.08 Wll

40 ---- It is clear from the report of the Dy C^r Mr Kumaravelu Naik dated 2 Sep 08 that the case has been very perfunctorily dealt with by the Dist^t Offrs Mrs Devaraj Hrs. The present Dy C^r (Mr Kumaravelu Naik) has done something to mitigate the hardship thereby caused -

2 The grounds on which the Dy C^r Mrs Devaraj Hrs has altered the P.S.Rs' dismissal are vague and untangible. A perusal of the evidence of the witnesses cited by the P.S.Rs impresses me with the idea that the witnesses could not be expected to testify more definitely than they have done to a fact six months or nine old when they were deposing - They have occasionally been a horse in the P.S.Rs' keeping - One of them lent a saddle

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Serial No.

a Rabble for that horse on one occasion - And Mr Jayaraman borrowed the Pst Thomas horse on another occasion - There is a Maharzar testifying to the Pst keeping a horse, and the Pst has produced a receipt for money paid to the person from whom Pst purchased the horse - Above all, the Accountant Gopal Krishnayya countersigned the bills for horse allowance through he subsequently altered himself to support the Commissioners false contention - Under these Circs I dissent from the Pst in Mr D Devaraj His Hon in his opinion that the Pst has been uttering a falsehood As to the alleged unfortunate, I see Nothing more than a frank enunciation of the fact of the Accountants prejudice against the Pst - This was a good reason for transferring the Pst to another Taluk & giving him a chance under another Officer -

3 The Order of dismissal should be cancelled - The Pst should get the arrears of pay and horse allowance due to him till the

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

till the 25 Nov when his leave commenced. I note that the Dy C. M. Kodumarasamy Naik has already taken steps to get a portion of these arrears paid. These steps should be expedited, & the D.A. had also be dispensed with without delay.

57) 4 Pff being desired to say he should be considered as having forfeited privilege leave and furlough from 26 Nov 1907 for such period as he may desire & be entitled to. Leave allowances will of course be payable accordg to rules.

58) 5 Pff belongs to a respectable family well known in the late Chieftains & Jagirdars cut. Cheris, and has, I dare say, been more sensitive to harsh treatment than most people in the grade of life to which he belongs. This sensitive nature is not to be compounded with impertinence & insubordination. Sd 21 Sept 08

61) Please put up a draft order at once. 22.9.08. Sd C.S. 22/9

Note—Contn sheet.

R. & S. 22/9/08

GTB—50 000—17-5-07

Serial No.	
	62) Draft submitted for approval DS 249/08 18/CN 23.9.08. JMW
	63) Draft approved JMW 23.9.08
	64) Issue 24.9.08. JMW
15	65) To the President Bd Board Bangalore the Comptroller in Mysore — No. 3166-7 d 23rd Sep: 08. directs that the order of dismissal passed against C Ramachendra Rao late L4 clerk Nagar ~~in~~ be cancelled. 66) Endorsement to the petitioner intimating to him the orders passed is submitted for approval or other orders. DS 2mg 28/9/08 67) Issue 28.9.08 JMW
16	68) To the petitioner No. 3448 d 30.9.08. Informs him of the above order —

GPB—50,000—18.5.06

LOS 374-07 Sel

Petition of C Rama
Chandra Rao. Late
Local Fund Clerk
Nagari

Date of petn Applcn
of receipt 15/4/08

Represents that the
Deputy Comr when he
was requested to pass orders
regarding the arrears of pay
due to him, told him that
he (the petitioner) has
been dismissed & the
records have all been
sent up to the Revenue
Commr and requests
that the records in
the case may be sent for
perused & suitable
orders passed.

DS
20/4/08

D 7650

L

L05 374-07 Sl 1

The Secretary
Revenue and Genl
Departments
Most Respected Sir.

I the undersigned most respectfully and humbly beg to bring the following unavoidable lines to your kind consideration and orders thereon

yesterday I gave an application to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore — District to order for the payment of my pay which has been in arrears for last 13 months to which he orally said, I have been dismissed the records are sent up to the Revenue Commissioner and on the return of the same order would be given. I am at a loss to know of what offence I am guilty that he should dismiss (the highest punishment) I have been a government servant of 20 years standing during this long service none of the officers under whom I have served have charged me with

misappropriation of Govern
ment money entrusted to me or
destruction of papers entrusted
to me, betrayal of confidential
correspondences, or certifying
wrong measurement to make
over payments to the Contractors
of the works in my charge. The
Amildar of Magadi seeing
the horse certified the daily
bills and after my transfer
to Kankanhally, withdrew
his certificate. A magistrate
knowing that a false statement
(even if made in joke) would make
him liable to punishment would
never make a lie a truth. It is
my signing the bill is a sufficient
evidence to prove that I kept a
horse. There is another instance
to prove the fact. That is in the month
of December 1906 when Amildar
asked for the horse I told him I
had sent it to the village, bring and
show it in a couple of days he
having not the patience to wait
for that time, disallowed my
horse allowance and got my
bare pay sanctioned. Judge if
such a evil disposed man would
certify the bills of the following
months without assuring himself
of the truth. The Deputy Commissioner
without fully understanding the
matter has dismissed me a poor
and innocent official for the
misconduct of the Amildar. If
officers in whom the virtues of
justice and impartiality should
dwell become strangers to them

elsewhere to seek livelihood than
to serve for months together
without pay and starve unnat-
-ural death. I just conclude this
humble petition of mine by pray-
-ing that my pay still due (up to
date) be ordered to be paid to
enable me to live to see the final
end of my poor case and send
for the whole record (a part of
which is with the Revenue Commis-
-er another still pending with the
Collector Range Assistant Commis-
and pass a suitable order after
being fully satisfied with the
facts. I herewith beg to enclose
a copy of the letter given me by the
man who sold me his horse and
a copy of the Mahajar of Rs 238,
to establish my statement of maintain-
-ing the horse.

For which act of mercy

I ever pray for the Longevity
and prosperity of your person

I beg to remain
Respected Sir.
your most obedient
and humble servant
C Ramchendra Rao
Late Local Fund
Clerk of Mangalore

Fort Bangalore
1/4/08.

To

The Secretary
Revenue and General
Departments.
Bangalore

The appeal petition
of Ramchandrarao
dismissed by the
Munsiff

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ ೨೦೨೧

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು, ೧೯೬೬ರ ನಿಯಮ ೧೫ರ ಉಪನಿಯಮ (೧)ರ ಅನ್ವಯ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರು ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಅಥವಾ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರ ನಡತೆ ನಿಯಮಗಳು, ೧೯೬೬ರ ನಿಯಮ ೧೫ರ ಉಪನಿಯಮ (೧)ರ ಅನ್ವಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರು ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಅಥವಾ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರು ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಅಥವಾ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ ೨೦೨೧ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೪.೦೭.೨೦೨೧ರ ಅನುಬಂಧ

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಸೇವಕರು ಸ್ವತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಅಥವಾ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪೂರ್ವಾನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ

ಕೊಡಗಿನ ಗೌರಮ್ಮನವರ ಸ್ವಾತಂತ್ರ್ಯ ಪ್ರೇಮ ಮತ್ತು ಹೋರಾಟದ ಬದುಕು ಇಡೀ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕದ ಮಹಿಳೆಯರಿಗೆ ಮಾದರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

- ಸಂಪಾದಕರು

G.R.S. 34]

No. 10815 LB 374-07-2

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(K 03)

Office Memorandum.

Dated Bangalore, the 25-4-1908.

Petition (Regd no 7650) from C Rama Chandra Rao late L & clerk, Nagar Taluk praying for early disbursement of arrears of pay due to him, is forwarded to the President of the Board of the Entire Bangalore for early report with records of the case.

By Order

25/4/08

for Secretary

SD 20/4/08

25/4/08

GPB—2,000—27-4-08

G.R.S. 37]

Form C.

No. 10816
LB374-07-3

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT } To
, the 25-4, 1908 }

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

The undersigned is directed to inform
C Rama Chandra Roy late Lt
clerk Nuggar now living in
the Cant- Bangalore
that his petition* has been transferred
to the President of the Dt Board
of Bangalore for ~~disposal~~ report.

By Order

20/4/08
for Secretary
SJ 20/4/08

* Dated April 08
Subject
Praying for
early disbursement of
arrears of pay due to
him

20/4/08

23/4

GPB—500—20-3-07.

L

The Secretary
to
Government & Revenue
Departments

Most Respected Sir.

On the 30th March
I got an endorsement from
your honour that my application
is sent to the President reporting
[illegible] favourable
lines to give a more clear idea of
my case. The late President—
Devaraj Urs Esq retransferred
me from Kankanhally that
the Amildar of Magadi and I
should remain in the same
Taluk until he should come
to Magadi to settle my case
pending. After some time
the Pres Court referred the enquiry
of the matter to the Hon Division
officer Sirk Devaraj Urs Esquire
[illegible] his town assumed charge
as officer [illegible]

To the Senate
and House of Representatives
of the United States of America

Weekly Dep.

1907 6. D8415

From

The President
District Board
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. Revenue Depts.

Dated 8 th

Despatched 9 May 1908.

Received

General No.

D 3 No. 233

Enclosure No. 5 vouchers

His No- 10815 of 25.4.08
& D3374.07.2

Reports on the petition of
C. Ramachandra Rao, L.D.
Clerk, Nagadi Clerk Talk
praying for early disburse
ment of arrears of pay

Office of the President, District Board, Bangalore.

D.F.No.233 Dated Bangalore, 8th May 1908.

From

B. J. Kumarasamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

President, District Board, Bangalore.

To

C.S.Balasundram Aiyar Esquire B.A.,

Offg:Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No.10815 /L.B.374-07-2 dated the 25th April last, calling for a report on the petition of C.Ramachendra Rao, late Local Fund Clerk, Magady Taluk, praying for early disbursement of his arrears of pay etc., I have the honor to state that the said petitioner was dismissed from --- service under the orders of my predecessor Mr.D.Devaraj Urs for -- making a false statement regarding his claim for horse allowance - and for insubordination as an Official.

2. A report dismissing him and bringing the unsatisfactory conduct of the Amildar in certifying to the claim of the Local Fund Clerk to the notice of the Revenue Commissioner, was made in this -- Office No.R.C.679 dated the 22nd /23rd March 1908.

3. Copy of the report and that of the Orders of the Revenue Commissioner conveyed in his No.11098 of 7/16th April 1908 along -- with the records received therewith are submitted herewith.

4. As regards the pay of the petitioner, the Amildar of Magady has been directed to inform this Office what amount is due to him. The same will be disbursed on receipt of the Amildar's report.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

President, District Board,

Bangalore District.

B.N.S.

Letter No.R.C.679 dated the 22nd/31st February 1908, from Mr D.Devaraj Urs,President of the District Fund Board of the Banga--lore District, to Mr.A.Rangasamy Aiyangar, Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

In submitting herewith the Vernacular file ending with Close -pet Sivisional Officer's Yadi No.918 dated the 20th December '07 re the horse allowance claimed by Work Inspector Ramachendra Rao of Magady, I have the honor to state as follows :-

1. The Amildar of Magady in submitting the Salary Bill of Work Inspector Ramachendra Rao for December 1906 statei that he came to learn that the Work Inspector did not maintain a horse in that month. It was pointed out to him that he should satisfy himself of the fact before submitting the bill. In reply he stated that, though the Work Inspector persisted in saying that he had maintain--ed a horse, he could not say that he had really maintained one. The horse allowance of Rs.10/- was accordingly disallowed for that month, and the bill was passed for only Rs.15/-.

2. On the 27th June 1907, the Amildar submitted the Work Inspect -or's Bills for the months of January to June 1907. As these -- contained the Amildar's Certificate for his having maintained a horse they were passed and a cheque for Rs.125/- was sent to the Amildar for payment with this Office No.2027 dated the 4th July 07

3. In the meanwhile the Work Inspector having been on the --- recommendation of the Amildar transferred to Kankanhalli Taluk, the former put in a petition to the Assistant Commissioner complai -ing that the Amildar had recommended his tranfer through ill-will which was borne out by the fact among other things that the -- Amildar had purposely declined to endorse the pony certificate in the pay bill for the month of December 1906 though he had done so in the bills for the previous and subsequent months.

4.

4. The Amildar in forwarding the petition to the Assistant Commissioner stated in his report No.17 dated the 10th July 1907, that he signed the Work Inspector's Salary Bills for October and November 1906 believing that he had maintained a horse in those months, but refrained from doing so for the month of December as he learnt that the Work Inspector did not maintain one then. He further stated that though he knew that the Work Inspector did not maintain a horse from 1st January to the 15th April 1907, he signed the bills for the months from January to May 1907 with the object of shewing the Work Inspector some concession (illegible) as he had since purchased a horse; but that the Work Inspector --- having questioned his propriety of certifying the bills, he had withheld payment of the horse allowance pending orders of the Sub-Division Officer.

5. The Divisional Officer having submitted the records for orders, he was requested to obtain and forward the explanation of the Work Inspector and to ascertain from the Amildar what he meant by saying that he wanted to shew concession to the Work Inspector.

6. The Sub-Divisional Officer on enquiring into the matter at Magady says that from the statements of the witnesses cited by the Work Inspector, it could not be definitely stated whether he maintained a horse during the period for which the horse allowance was claimed; and that the Amildar's explanation in stating that he shewed the concession to the Work Inspector was unsatisfactory

7. From the explanation of the Amildar and the evidence of the witnesses cited by the Work Inspector, it is evident that the -- latter maintained no horse during the time in question. The Work Inspector's explanation savours of impertinence, besides his -- giving false statements. Under the above circumstances, I have ordered his dismissal from service.

8. In this connection I beg to bring to your notice the ----

unsatisfactory

unsatisfactory conduct of Mr.N.Gopalakrishna Aiyangar, the Amildar who in his explanation states that he thought he could use his -- discretion in recommending the horse allowance for the period in question and that what prompted him most in doing so was that, he should not be thought of as being revengeful to the Work-Inspector who besides being inefficient and indifferent to work was disobe--dient towards him.

--oooooooooo--

"C O P Y".

President, District Board,

B.N.S. Bangalore District.

Order of the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

No.11098 Dated 7/16th April 1908.

Subject :-

Letter No.R.C.679 dated 22nd/31st March 1908, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, submitting a file of papers relating to the horse allowance claimed by one Ramachendra Rao, late Work Inspector in the Magady Taluk for the period from October 1906 to May 1907.

Facts of the case :-

Ramachendra Rao joined the Taluk on -- transfer on the 31st October 1906 and the horse allowance claimed by him for October and November 1906, was passed apparently with--out verification as the Amildar was then engaged on Jamabandy duty. The claim for December 1906, however, was disallowed as it was noticed that Ramachendra Rao had not maintained a pony, during that month. The Official who had discontinued to draw both his pay and allowances for sometime thereafter, submitted his claim -- for horse allowance for all the five months from January to May 1907 on the 29th June 1907 and the Amildar certified to the claim although he was aware that Ramachendra Rao had not maintained a horse during the greater portion of the period. The Work Inspec--tor has been dismissed from service and the Deputy Commissioner now brings to notice the unsatisfactory conduct of the Amildar in certifying to the claim. The Amildar has represented that he -- thought he could use his discretion in allowing the claim as the Official had been maintaining a horse from about the middle of April 1907 and that what prompted him most in doing this was to avoid being thought as being revengful to the official against -- whom he had previously complained of indifference to work and dis--obedience.

O R D E R.

The

The action of the Amildar was improper. It amounted to a false declaration of a material fact and support of an inadmis-sible claim to horse allowance and the plea that he wanted there-by to show the Work Inspector that he entertained no prejudice against him, is no justification at all. He is therefore severe-ly censured and he should be informed that a more serious punish-ment has not been considered necessary as he evidently erred -- from a mistaken (if culpable) notion of his duty.

Sd/- K. Subba Rao.

For Revenue Commissioner.

&&&&&&&&

"COPY".

President, District Board,

Bangalore District.

B.N.S.

Sun 210

374

G.R.S. 26]

File No.

Serial No. 07

Diary No. of letter replied to 8415

No. 12029

2/18.5.08

General and Revenue Secretariat

(RB)

, the 190 .

To

The President of
the Bd Board of
Bangalore.

Date of issue 18.5.08

Signed by Jur-B.S.J.

His letter no 233 dated
8th May 1908 reporting
on the petition of C
Ramachendra Rao late
L.C. clerk Nagar Taluk.

With reference to
the above has the
honor to request the
President to be good
enough to send
the service register
of

over.

SUBJECT.

Requests him to send the service
register of C Ramachendra Rao late
L.C. clerk Nagar

the petitioner

By Order

Hughes

for Secretary

SJ 13/5/05

TLJ

13/5/05

List of enclosures.

Copied by Hughes

Examined by HH 15/5.

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

G.R. 26]

Sur 259

374/07

File No.

Serial No

8115

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. 13023
L&S 374-07
915-6-08

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190 .

To

The President of
the Or Board of
Bangalore

Date of issue 15-6-08

Signed by

This office docket
no 12029 dated
(L&S 374-07)

18th May 1908 requesting
early submission of the
service register of C.
Ramachendra Rao
L T clerk Nagar.

Has the honour
to invite attention to
the above and to

over.

SUBJECT.

Request the President of the Or
Board Bangalore to send early the
service register of Ramachendra Rao
L T clerk Nagar

request the favour of
an early reply thereto

By Order

9/6/08

for Secretary

5/6/08

Dft
5/6/08

Compd
MM
13/6

List of enclosures,

Copied by MM 13/6

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

Wf 2216 1907 8 1923/16

1907. 8

From

The President
District Board
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. Rules & Regns.

Dated 20

Despatched 22 June 1908.

Received

General No.

D. D. No. 261

Enclosure No. One V. R. &c.

His No. 12029 of 18 May
237374.07

—

— forwards the Service
Register of C. Ramachandra
Rao, late D. D. clerk of the
Nagadi Taluk &

D 261
E. IV. Rev.

D 9723.

Office of the President, District Board, Bangalore District

Dated Bangalore 20th June 08

LB 374-7 Sep.

From B. J. Ramaraswami Naik Esqr B.A.
President, District Board.
Bangalore District.

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esqr B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 12029 dated 18th May 1908, calling for the service register of C. Ramachendra L.B.7 No 374-07 Race, Cale L. S. clerk of Nagadi Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the service Register, as called for in the above.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant,

President
District Board.

G.R.S. 26]

Sec 2nd Secretary

374

File No. 07

Serial No 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 9723

No. 13654

L B374-07

General and Rev. Secretariat

(L.B.)

, the 190 .

To 30.6.08

The President of the
Bd Board of
Bangalore

Date of issue 30.6.08

Signed by Mysore

Correspondence ending with his letter No. 261 dated 20th June 1908 reporting on the petition of C. Rajendra Rao late Local Fund Clerk, Magady Taluk

With reference to the above has the honor to observe that the Vernacular Records of the case

over.

SUBJECT.

Request the President of the Bd Board of Bangalore to submit the entire record of the case of C Rajendra Rao late LF clerk of the Magady Taluk

do not contain the papers
relating to the recommendation
for the transfer of the
Work Inspector from Nagpur
to Kamptee only and
orders thereon & also those
relating to his re transfer to
Nagpur, and also to the pay
bills presented by him for
subsequent months. and to
request the President to
be good enough to
submit early the entire
records of this case, (those relating to
his transfer & leave &c) that
passed between the Ol.
Talak & sub divisional officers
between the date of
his joining the Nagpur
Taluk & final relief after
dismissal &c. The records now received
are returned for reference & resubmission
By Order

List of enclosures,

JB 29/6/08
For Secretary
29/6

Copied by KB 29/6
Examined by 29/6

RJ
19/6/08

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

D 472 L03 374 7869

Petition of C. Ramachandra, late
K 7 Clerk Nagapattinam

Date 4/7/08

Date of receipt 5/7/08
18/7/08

Prays for the
issue of early orders
in his case, as he is
without pay from a
very long time

DS
20/7/08

I 0472

332

Rec 20 Jan 374-07 Reg

The Secretary
General & Revenue
Department.

Most Honored Sir

I am sorry to bring to your Honor's notice that my hoor ease is still pending. My pay which has been in arrears for last 1 year and half not yet disbursed to me. The charge of the Deputy Commissioner against me is that of giving a false statement of maintenance of horse. This is wrong to fully based on the Amildar's explaination and the deposition of the witnesses cited. The Amildar's disallowing the horse allowance in the previous month and certifying the bills in the following month are sufficient to prove that I kept a horse

In his explanation he states that he signed the bill, to show me impression which is to be got at [illegible] of Government. An officer and a magistrate in his circle would not certify a false statement because the Amildar to procure over official withdrew his application for the money after signing a cheque for the money, I do not follow that I did not [illegible] horse. The party in fault is strictly warned and the innocent [illegible] is credited with the [illegible] for the deposition. The other witnesses say that they saw the horse (sent by me) occasionally. This is not in any way unsubstantiating my statement, for the witnesses themselves being executive officials cannot be expected to keep a diary and answer every question at any time which [illegible] affirmed by the Amildar or the most reliable witness. Besides there is the Mahajan of the Asame village and also the owner of the horse is one of the men who sent the petition. In addition to this, there is [illegible] of Rangoon which for selling the horse.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
your most humble & obedient servant
[illegible]

[illegible] 1916.

1908. D 59

From

The President
District Board.
Bangalore dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Dept.

Dated 20th

Despatched 21 July 1908.

Received

General No.

D-D No. 16

Enclosure No.

This office No. 261 of 20.7.08

Requests to return the Service register of the late Local Fund clerk of the Nagadi Taluk with the above.

1908-09 LBB 374-7 Sl 10

D.→10.

Office of the President, District Board, Bangalore Dt. Dated Bangalore 20th July 1908.

From

B. J. Kumarasami Naik Esquire B.L.
President, District Board
Bangalore District

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esquire B.L. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the Service Register of the late Local Fund Clerk, Magady Taluk, which was submitted with this office No. 2618 of 20-6-08 may be kindly caused to be returned to this office for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

President, District Board
Bangalore District

G.R.S. 26]

1 Sur 708

374

File No. 07

Serial No 11

Diary No. of letter replied to 472

30

No. 957

Ab 3746-07-

27.7.08.

General and Rev. Secretariat

(LB)

, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 29.7.08

Signed by MKM.

To

The President of
the Cr Board of
Bangalore

Petition dated 14th
July 1908 from C.
Ramachendra Rao
late L.D. clerk Magaz
praying ~~for~~ for early
orders in his case as
he is without pay
for the last ~~two~~ one year &
a half

With reference to
the above has the
honor to request
the President to be

over.

SUBJECT.

Requests the President of the Cr Board
of Bangalore to expedite the submission of
the report already called for re: L.D. Clk
C Ramachendra Rao

Copied LB 29/7.

good enough to expedite
the submission of the
entire records of the case
as already called for
in this office docket-
No 13654 dated
COS 374-07-8
30th June 1908.

By Command

Wells
for Secretary
of War

DJ
7/7/08

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPD—20,000-19-12-07

G.R.S. 26]

1 Sur 708

374

File No. 07

Serial No W

30

No. 957

DB 3374-07-

Dy 29.7.08.

Diary No. of letter replied to 472

General and Rev. Secretariat
(LB)
, the 190 .

Date of issue 29.7.08

Signed by MKM.

To

The President of
the Ootacamund Board of
Bangalore

Petition dated 14th
July 1908 from C.
Ramachendra Rao
late L.D. clerk Magazy
praying ~~for~~ for early
orders in his case as
he is without pay
for the past one year &
a half

With reference to
the above has the
honor to request
the President to be

over.

SUBJECT.

Requests the President of the Ootacamund Board of Bangalore to expedite the submission of the report already called for re: L.D. Clerk C. Ramachendra Rao

Copies LR 25/7.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 374
Serial No. 07

12

Diary No. of letter replied to 590

Enl

No. 1025
L&S 374-07-
9 28.7.08.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(L&S)
, the 190

To The President of the
Distt Board, Bangalore Distt

Date of issue 28-7-08

Signed by MrKM.

His letter No D.710 dated
the 20th July, 1908.

Has the honour to return
herewith, as requested,
the Service Register of the
late Local Fund Clerk,
Magadi Taluk, received
with his No 261 dated the
20th June, 1908.

By order

Dewl
for Secy

Typed Ltr
28/7

28/7 SUBJECT.

All 5 over.
27.7.08

Forwards to the President of the Dt
Board of Bangalore the service register of
C Ramachandra Rao —

374

G.R.9. 26]

File No. 07

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 1984
LB 374-07--
28.8.08.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

To The President of the Dt Board of Bangalore

Date of issue 28.8.08

Signed by MKM.

This office docket no 13657 dated 30th LB 374-07) - 8 June 1908 and subsequent reminder calling for early submission of the complete records relating to C Ramachendra Rao late Clerk Land Tax Clerk, Magadi -

Has the Honble to write about

over.

SUBJECT.

Requests the early submission of the records already called for regarding the late L T clerk C Ramachendra Rao of Magadi

to the above and to request a very early submission of the papers referred to therein.

By Order

Illegible

for Secretary

5/25/08

5/25/08

5 9 V

List of enclosures.

Copied by [illegible] 27/8

Examined by [illegible] 27/8

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

From

The President
District Board
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mys.
Gen. Revenue Depts.

Dated 2nd

Despatched 3rd September 1908.

Received

General No. D7649

D. D. No. 30

Enclosure No. 3 files & 1 S.R.

His No. 1354 of 30.6.08.
& 3374.07-8

Submits the entire records relating to the transfer, leave &c of C. Ramachandra Rao, late L.D. clerk, Nagar Dist.

1908-09

30

2 Voices : 15 x

Office of the President, District Board.
Bangalore. Dated 2? 5/6/08.

LB 374-07 Sl 14

From

B. J. Kumaraswami Naik Esquire BA
President, District Board. Bangalore

To

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esquire BA BL
Secretary to Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.
13654 — Dated 30th June 1908, I have the honor
LB 374-07-8
to submit herewith the complete records connected with the transfer, leave and dismissal of C. Ramachandra Rao.

2. As regards his pay orders have since been issued to the Amildar of Magadi to disburse the pay (without horse allowance) of the applicant from January to May 07 which is held in deposit.

3. His pay for June, July and August 1907 is reported to have already been paid to him. The pay for September and October 1907 was not paid to him as the matter was under correspondence between the Division, the Taluk and the District offices regarding the maintenance of Pony in those months.

4. On receipt of reply from the Assistant Commissioner to whom a reminder has been issued, the matter will

will be disposed of

5. The pay from November 1907 till 6th April 1908, when the order of dismissal was communicated to him was not paid to him, as his leave application was not disposed of by the Assistant Commissioner who has this day been informed that L. J Clerk's absence from 25th November to 30th January 1908 may be treated as privilage leave to which he is entitled and that from 31st January to 6th April 1908, as leave on loss pay and he has also been requested to get his pay bills prepared accordingly and to submit the same to this office for passing and issuing cheque for the amount. The Service Register is herewith resubmitted.

6. From the records it will be seen that no final action was taken by the Divisional officer regarding the official's application for leave and the disbursement of pay for September and October 1907, but that

the records were ordered by the Assistant Commissioner to be filed on 28.5.08 on the ground that he (official) had been since dismissed from service. This irregularity has been pointed out to the Assistant Commissioner.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N Mahabala Rau
In President, District Bd.
Bangalore

hmj

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 374/07

Serial No. 15

Diary No. of letter replid to 1649

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(CB)

, the 190

Date of issue 25-9-08

Signed by Mr K Raghavendra Rao

READ.—

Petition dated 15th April 1908 from C. Ramachendra Rao late L.D. clerk, Nagadi appealing against the order of dismissal ~~UNDER THEREON. &c.~~ against him passed by the Deputy Commr of Bangalore

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 30 dated 2nd Septr 1908 from the President

over

SUBJECT

Directs that the order of dismissal passed against C Ramachendra Rao late L.D. clerk Nagadi be cancelled

MGCPB—20,000—24-2-05

of the Dt Board of
Bangalore on the subject.

Order thereon No 31667 / L.B374-07-15 dt 25.9.08.

Encl: File of Item: Head
endorsement No 2665 dt 24.8.08 from
the S.D.O in charge of
Nagamangala.

Mr C. Rama Chandra
Rao, Local Fund clerk,
doing the duties of
the Work Inspector
joined the Nagamangala
Taluk on transfer
on the 31st October
1906. His pay and
horse allowance for
October & Nov 1906
were duly passed
and paid to him.
But as the Amildar, who had first signed his salary
bill for Dec 06 subsequently expressed
doubt of the Work
Inspector having
maintained a horse
only his pay for the said month was,
after some correspondence

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

After June Months

(X) On 5 July 1907 the Dy C Appr ordered the transfer of Ramachendra Aiyar & the Kankumhalli Talukh - Some correspondence was initiated by the latter who asked that the transfer may be held in abeyance for a little time while he would recruit his broken health & that it might then be to a less unhealthy Talukh. In the course of his representations he complained of the Magadi Amildars harsh treatment of him - In forwarding these representations the Amildar took the opportunity to question the Head Inspectors title to horse allowance which had already been handed by the Dy C President on the Amildars own conversion a year. In the result the Dy C President ordered the whole matter to

was passed in June 1907. Then the Petitioner submitted his bills for pay & horse allowance for January to May 07 The bills were signed by the Asst Dar & passed by the President who issued a cheque for the amount. The Rapidity of the Work Inspectors claim to horse allowance was again questioned by the Asst Dar, the matter was ordered by the President to be thoroughly enquired into by the Asst Comm in charge of the Closepet Sub Division. Mr Ryan after examining the witnesses cited by the Petitioner & making an enquiry reported on 20th December 1907 that it could not be definitely stated whether the Work

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05.

Work Inspector and
maintain a pony
between January and
April 1907. ~~Whereupon~~ Thereupon
the President ~~of the~~ Independent Tribunal directed
that the ~~appellant~~ P.W. Banger
be dismissed from
service for ~~to be~~ making
a false declaration
in the bill and ~~as regards impertinence in~~ because
his explanation. ~~accused~~
~~of impertinence~~ censured for impertinence."

In the meanwhile the P.W. Banger had
obtained some combined
privilege leave
& furlough
from the 25th Nov
1907.

2— It is clear from
the report of the present
President Mr Kumara-
swamy Naik dated
2nd Sep: 1908 that
the case ~~has been~~ was
~~very~~ perfunctorily
dealt with by the
Divisional officer
Mr C Devaraj Urs. ~~Obviously~~
~~the appeal is considered~~
Mr Kumaraswamy
Naik has done some-
thing to mitigate
the hardship thereby
caused.

3. The grounds
on which the Dy

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

Comm^r Mr D. Devereaux who has ordered the petitioner's dismissal are vague and intangible. The witnesses cited can not be expected to testify more definitely than they have done to a fact six months or more old when they were deposing. They have occasionally seen a horse in the petitioner's keeping. On one occasion one of them lent a saddle for that horse and borrowed the petitioner's horse on another occasion. There is a manager testifying to the petitioner keeping a horse and the petitioner has produced a receipt for money paid to the person from whom he purchased it. Above all the Auditor Mr

M&CPB—30,000—5-1-05.

Gopalaswamy Aiengar countersigned the bills for those allowance though he subsequently altered himself to doubt the correctness of his countersignature Government in the circumstances, disagree with the Dy Commr Mr Devaraj Urs in his opinion that the Petitioner has been uttering falsehood. As to the alleged impertinence it is agreed that there has been nothing more than a frank explicit representation of the facts Amid dais prejudice against the petitioner. This was indeed a good reason for transfer the petitioner. another Taluk and giving him a chance under another officer

X Z. On a consideration of all the facts of the case. Government are pleased to direct that the order of dismissal be cancelled and the petitioner restored at once, all arrears of pay and allowance due to him till the 21st November 1907

G.R.S. 271

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

when his leave Commences for the payment of which the D/ Comr Kumara Swamy Draft has already been disbursed being paid to him without delay. The petitioner should be considered as having on combined privilege leave and furlough from 26th November 1907 for such period as he may desire and be entitled to, leave allowances being paid to him according to rules.

23 Sept 05

Dewd
For Secretary
5/22/1906

To

The President of the
Dr Board of Examiners
relg Ver: records
& Comptroller in
Mysore

MGCPB—30,000—5-4-05

D

G.R.S. 34]

No. 3448 / 33374-07 — 615

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Office Memorandum.

To

the 30-9-1908.

With reference to his petition dated 15th April 1908 appealing against the order of dismissal passed against him by the Deputy Commr of Bangalore C. Ramachandra Rao late L.C. clerk Magadi, now living in the Fort, Bangalore, is informed that on a perusal of the records of his case, Govt have been pleased to direct that the said order of dismissal be cancelled & that the petitioner be restored to

MGCPB—5,000—1-8-05

to his appointment

By Order

for Secretary

29/9/08

29/9/08

Comptr 29/9

29/9